

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/080,503	HIGUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	L. E. Crane	1623	

All Participants:

(1) L. E. Crane.

(2) Stephanie Seidman.

Status of Application: After Final Rejection

(3) Frank Miskiel.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
all remaining of record


Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Following transmittal of a FAX to Ms. Seidman in July, 2006 outlining necessary changes prior to allowance, Mr. Miskiel responded on 8/21/06 with acceptance and authorization of the proposed examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)